

The Asymptotic Behavior of the Titchmarsh-Weyl m-function for a Dirac System on the Line

Frank Ingram¹, *Dominic P Clemence¹

¹Department of Mathematics, North Carolina A&T State University, Greensboro, NC 27411, USA.

Received- 27 December 2017, Revised- 22 January 2018, Accepted- 24 January 2018, Published- 30 January 2018

ABSTRACT

The Titchmarsh-Weyl matrix m-function for the Dirac equation $y' = \begin{bmatrix} p & (\lambda + c + v_1) \\ (-\lambda + c + v_2) & -p \end{bmatrix} y$ on the line is shown through analysis of the Jost functions, to be asymptotically diagonal and λ -independent as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ through complex values. An immediate consequence of this behavior is that the spectral function ρ associated with the equation on the line has an asymptotically diagonal linear form, and hence the absence of large eigen values for the associated operator is confirmed.

Keywords: Jost function, Dirac equation, Spectral function, Diagonal linear form, Eigen values.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with a one-dimensional Dirac system on the line namely,

$$y' = \begin{bmatrix} p & (\lambda + c + v_1) \\ (-\lambda + c + v_2) & -p \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where $y(x, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x, \lambda) \\ y_2(x, \lambda) \end{bmatrix}$, $x \in i$, λ is a complex spectral parameter and c is a positive constant. Our main hypothesis is that the real-valued, Lebesgue measurable functions p , v_1 and v_2 verify the scattering condition.

$$\int_i (1 + |x|)(|p(x)| + |v_1(x)| + |v_2(x)|) dx < \infty \quad (2)$$

The system (1) has been widely studied, with specific potential functions p , v_1 and v_2 , in connection with physical phenomena. We mention here its association with a Bose gas [8] and monochromatic waves [9, 10], in which cases the condition (2) is a natural requirement. Subject to condition (2), equation (1) is known to be of limit-point type at both $x = \pm\infty$. By choosing a suitable domain (see [4], for example) one associates with (1) a self-adjoint operator formally defined by

$$L[y] = Jy' + [A + V]y \quad (3)$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = \begin{pmatrix} -v_2 & -p \\ -p & -v_1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The spectrum of L has been widely investigated ([1]-[5] for example) and is known to consist of a continuously differentiable essential spectrum $(-\infty, -c) \cup (c, \infty)$ and a finite number of eigenvalues in $(-c, c)$. The study of the spectrum of L can be to a great extent facilitated by a matrix-valued function, $M(\lambda)$, which first introduced by Titchmarsh [7] for a particular case of (1). Besides “picking out” a basis for $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ solutions of problems associated with (1), this so-called Titchmarsh-Weyl m-function characterizes the spectrum and the resolvent set of L [4]. It has also been used as a tool in perturbation theory in connection with spectral concentration [5]. An analogue of the celebrated Levinson theorem [6] can also be derived from the behavior of $M(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \pm c$ [2].

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +13362852033

Email address: clemence@ncat.edu (D.P.Clemence)

Double blind peer review under responsibility of DJ Publications

<https://dx.doi.org/10.18831/djmaths.org/2018011003>

2455-362X ©2018 DJ Publications by Dedicated Juncture Researcher’s Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

This note addresses the large λ behavior of $M(\lambda)$. Specifically, we show that the half-line m-functions associated with equation have conjugate behaviors as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ in the complex plain, resulting in an asymptotically diagonal matrix m-function for $(-\infty, \infty)$. Our method is based on the analysis of the so-called Jost functions associated with (1). In section 2, we define the quantities pertinent to our analysis and state their relevant properties. Some preliminary lemmas are also provided [2]. Section 3 brings the statement and proof of our main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The m-function associated with equation (1) on the whole line is defined in the following manner. Let the fundamental matrix solution for (1) be denoted by $Y(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(x, \lambda) \\ \theta_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. $Y(0, \lambda) = I_2$ for all λ . Then the half-line m-coefficients, m_{\pm} for system (1.1) at $c = \pm\infty$ are respectively defined by,

$$m_{\pm} = -\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{\theta_1(x, \lambda)}{\phi_1(x, \lambda)}, \quad \Im(\lambda \neq 0) \tag{4}$$

Their analyticity properties and connection to the spectrum of operators induced by (1) on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$ are well known. In studying the non-homogeneous system for f in an appropriate domain, one naturally studies the homogeneous system (1).

$$y' = \begin{bmatrix} p & \lambda + c + v_1 \\ -\lambda + c + v_2 & -p \end{bmatrix} y \tag{5}$$

Let us note that we define the operator L by the formal expression.

$$L[y] = Jy' + [A + V]y \tag{6}$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}$ and $V = \begin{pmatrix} -v_2 & -p \\ -p & -v_1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then (1) and (5) are respectively equivalent to

$$L[y] = \lambda Iy \text{ and } L[y] = \lambda Iy + f(x)$$

Now, the Green's function for (5) is given by,

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = \phi_+(x, \lambda) [m_-(\lambda) - m_+(\lambda)]^{-1} \phi_-^*(t, \bar{\lambda}), \quad x > t$$

$$\phi_-(x, \lambda) [m_-(\lambda) - m_+(\lambda)]^{-1} \phi_-^*(t, \bar{\lambda}), \quad x < t$$

where $\phi_+(x, \lambda)$ and $\phi_-(x, \lambda)$ are the unique (up to the constant multiples) solutions of (1) in $L^2(0, \infty)$ and $L^2(-\infty, 0)$, respectively given by, $\phi_{\pm}(x, \lambda) = \theta(0, \lambda) + m_{\pm}(\lambda)\phi(x, \lambda)$, where $*$ denotes complex conjugate transpose and $\theta(x, \lambda)$ and $\phi(x, \lambda)$ are the solutions used in definition (4). It is easily seen that we may re-write $G(x, t, \lambda)$ as,

$$G(x, t, \lambda) = \begin{cases} Y(x, \lambda)M_1(\lambda)Y^*(t, \bar{\lambda}), & x > t \\ Y(x, \lambda)M_2(\lambda)Y^*(t, \bar{\lambda}), & x < t \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $Y(x, \lambda)$ is as above and $M_1 = \frac{1}{[m_- - m_+]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m_+ & m_+ m_- \end{pmatrix}$, $M_2 = \frac{1}{[m_- - m_+]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_+ \\ m_- & m_- m_+ \end{pmatrix}$

With λ -dependences suppressed, the M-function associated with (1) is then defined as,

$$M(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} [M_1(\lambda) + M_2(\lambda)] \tag{8}$$

Its analyticity properties and relation to the spectrum of L are given in [1] and the references therein. We do, however, point out that M picks out L^2_{IR} solutions of (5) in the sense that,

$$y(x) = \int_{IR} G(x, t, \lambda) f(t) dt$$

which is the only L^2_{IR} solution of (5). The connection between $M(\lambda)$ and the spectral formula ρ of L is given by the Titchmarsh-Kodaira formula;

$$\rho(\mu_2) - \rho(\mu_1) = \frac{1}{\Phi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \text{Im } M(+i\varepsilon) d\mu \tag{9}$$

At points of continuity, μ_1, μ_2 of ρ . Let us now turn our attention to the so-called Jost functions, which is described as follows. Let $S = \lambda : \text{Im } \lambda \geq 0, \lambda \neq \pm c$. For $\lambda \in S$, set $w = [\lambda^2 - c^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where the principal branch of $\sqrt{}$ is used, except on $(-\infty, -c)$, where it is defined to be continuous on S. If we let L denote the unperturbed or free operator, i.e., L at $V \equiv 0$, we see that the fundamental matrix for the free problem is given by,

$$E(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos wx & \frac{\lambda+c}{w} \\ \frac{-w}{\lambda+c} \sin wx & \cos wx \end{pmatrix},$$

Thus the variation of constants formula gives us the solution of L $[y] = y$ as,

$$y(x) = E(x, \lambda)y(0) + \int_0^x E(x-t, \lambda)Q[y(t)]dt \tag{10}$$

where $Q[y(t)] = JVy(t)$, and we have suppressed the λ -dependence. For any solution y, for i.e., given any $y(0)$ in (10), of (1), the Jost function $A_y(\lambda)$ and $B_y(\lambda)$ are defined for $\lambda \in S$ by,

$$A_y(\lambda) = \left(\frac{w}{i(\lambda+c)}, 1 \right) y(0) + \int_0^\infty e^{iwt} \left(\frac{w}{i(\lambda+c)}, 1 \right) Q[y(t)]dt \tag{11}$$

$$B_y(\lambda) = \left(\frac{w}{i(\lambda+c)}, -1 \right) y(0) + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{iwt} \left(\frac{w}{i(\lambda+c)}, -1 \right) Q[y(t)]dt \tag{12}$$

The next lemma established in [2] ensures that the Jost functions are analytic on $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$, bounded on $|\lambda| > c$, and have continuous extensions to $IR \setminus \{-c, c\}$; and thus can be analytically continued into $\text{Im } \lambda < 0$ by Schwartz reflection to obtain the following relation.

Lemma 2.1 [Lemma 4.1 of [1]]

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{iwx} y(x) = A_y(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i(\lambda+c)}{2w} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \text{Im } w > 0;$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-iwx} y(x) = B_y(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i(\lambda+c)}{2w} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \text{Im } w > 0;$$

We also have that $A_y(\lambda)$ and $B_y(\lambda)$ respectively determine the asymptotic phase of $y(x, \lambda)$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and as $x \rightarrow -\infty$. This statement is stated below.

Lemma 2.2 [Lemma 3.7 of [2]]

Let $\lambda \rightarrow IR \setminus \{-c, c\}$. Then

$$y(x) = \text{Re} \left\{ e^{-iwx} A_y(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i(\lambda+c)}{2w} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} + o(1), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

$$y(x) = \text{Re} \left\{ e^{iwx} A_y(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i(\lambda+c)}{2w} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} + o(1), \text{ as } x \rightarrow -\infty$$

The definition of the M-function features two solutions $\theta(x, \lambda)$ and $\phi(x, \lambda)$ of (1.1). We thus, as our project is to establish asymptotic behaviour of $M(\lambda)$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, turn to the behaviour of the Jost functions $A_\theta, A_\phi, B_\theta, B_\phi$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. These behaviours are established in [2] and are as follows:

Lemma 2.3 [Lemma 4.2 of [1]]

Let $\lambda \in S$ and set $v = \frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_2)$. Then as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$;

$$a) \quad A_\theta(\lambda) = -i \exp\left(-i \int_0^\infty v(t) dt\right) + o(1);$$

$$b) \quad A_\phi(\lambda) = \exp\left(-i \int_0^\infty v(t) dt\right) + o(1);$$

$$c) \quad B_\theta(\lambda) = -i \exp\left(-i \int_{-\infty}^0 v(t) dt\right) + o(1);$$

$$d) \quad B_\phi(\lambda) = -\exp\left(-i \int_{-\infty}^0 v(t) dt\right) + o(1).$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

With these preliminaries, our main result may then be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1

Let $\text{Im}\lambda > 0$. Then as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$$M(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}i + o(1) & o(1) \\ o(1) & \frac{1}{2}i + o(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding behaviour for $\text{Im}\lambda < 0$ is

$$M(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}i + o(1) & o(1) \\ o(1) & -\frac{1}{2}i + o(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof

The last statement follows as the first Lemma 2 and the well known properties.

$$m_\pm(\bar{\lambda}) = \overline{m_\pm(\lambda)}$$

To obtain the first statement, we note that the definition (4) and Lemma (2.1) yield,

$$m_+ = -\frac{A_\theta}{A_\phi} \text{ and } m_- = -\frac{B_\theta}{B_\phi}, \text{ we then see that definition (8) gives } m_{11} = \frac{A_\phi B_\phi}{F}, m_{22} = \frac{A_\theta B_\theta}{F}, \text{ and } m_{12} = m_{21} = \frac{A_\theta B_\phi + A_\phi B_\theta}{2F}.$$

Hence the Lemma completes the proof of the theorem. An immediate corollary of theorem 3.1, coupled with the formula (9) is the following:

Corollary 3.1

In condition (2), let L be the operator expressed in (9) and let $\rho(\lambda)$ denote its spectral function. Then as $|\lambda|$ in \mathbb{R} , $\frac{d\rho(\lambda)}{d\rho} = \frac{1}{2\rho} I_2 + o(1)$, where $o(1)$ means a matrix, in which all of the elements are $o(1)$.

4. CONCLUSION

1. The theorem shows that the matrix $M(\lambda)$ is not continuous across \mathbb{R} as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathbb{C} . In particular, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is large enough, it is an independent demonstration of the well-known fact that is in the continuous spectrum of L ; and also that $\{\lambda | \text{Im}\lambda \neq 0, |\lambda| > R \text{ for some } R \text{ large enough}\}$ is in the resolvent set of L. We do, however, note that our theorem shows that the off-diagonal elements of M are asymptotically continuous across \mathbb{R} .

2. Corollary 3.1 shows that the spectral function of L is asymptotically diagonally linear in λ with constant off-diagonal terms, and in particular, L has no embedded eigenvalues.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Both DPC and FI received partial support from the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-9971031 for the work reported here. FI also acknowledges support from the NC A&T State University Ronald McNair Baccalaureate Achievement Program.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.P.Clemence, On the Titchmarsh-Weyl $M(\lambda)$ –Coefficient and Spectral Density for a Dirac System, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics, Vol. 114A, 1990, pp. 259-277, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500024434>.
- [2] D.P.Clemence, Half-Bound States of a One-Dimensional Dirac System, Their Effects on the Titchmarsh-Weyl $M(\lambda)$ –Function and the Scattering Matrix, Ph.D. thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 1988.
- [3] D.B.Hinton and J.K.Shaw, Absolutely Continuous Spectra of Dirac Systems with Long Range, Short Range and Oscillating Potentials, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 36, No. 2, 1985, pp. 183-213, <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/36.2.183>.
- [4] D.B.Hinton and J.K.Shaw, On the Spectrum of a Singular Hamiltonian System II, Quaestiones Mathematicae, Vol. 10, No. 1, 1986, pp. 1-48, <https://doi.org/10.1080/16073606.1986.9631590>.
- [5] D.B.Hinton and J.K.Shaw, Spectral Concentration for Hamiltonian Systems, Helvetica Physica Acta, Vol. 58, No. 6, 1985, pp. 982-994.
- [6] N.Levinson, On the Uniqueness of the Potential in a Schrodinger Equation for a Given Asymptotic Phase, Mat. Fys. Medd., Vol. 25, 1949, pp. 1-29.
- [7] E.C.Titchmarsh, On the Nature of the Spectrum in Problems of Relativistic Quantum Mechanics, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 12, No. 1, 1961, pp. 227-240, <https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/12.1.227>.
- [8] V.E.Zakharov and A.B.Shabat, Interaction Between Solitons in a Stable Medium, The Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics, Vol. 37, No. 5, 1973, pp. 823-828.
- [9] K.Selvakumar, Application of Euler Method to Singular Perturbation Problems, DJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2018, pp. 1-19, <https://dx.doi.org/10.18831/djmaths.org/2018011001>.
- [10] V.E.Zakharov and A.B.Shabat, Exact Theory of Two-Dimensional Self-Focusing and One-Dimensional Self-Modulation of Waves in Non-Linear Media, The Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics, Vol. 34, No. 1, 1972, pp. 62-69.